	Case 3:21-md-02996-CRB Document 622	2 Filed 11/06/23 Page 1 of 6		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP AELISH M. BAIG (201279) TAEVA C. SHEFLER (291637) HADIYA K. DESHMUKH (328118) Post Montgomery Center One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415/288-4545 415/288-4534 (fax) aelishb@rgrdlaw.com tshefler@rgrdlaw.com hdeshmukh@rgrdlaw.com			
9				
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY, CALIFORNIA; POPE COUNTY, ILLINOIS; and THE) Case No. 3:21-md-02996-CRB		
12 13	VILLAGE OF EDDYVILLE, ILLINOIS, Individually and on Behalf of a Class of Persons Similarly Situated) [PROPOSED] AMENDED ORDER) GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL) OF CLASS SETTLEMENT AND		
14	In re MCKINSEY & CO., INC. NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE CONSULTANT	 DIRECTION OF NOTICE UNDER RULE 23(e) OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 		
15	LITIGATION) CIVIL PROCEDURE		
16	This Document Relates To:)		
17 18	ALL SUBDIVISION ACTIONS)))		
19	·,	, 		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	[PROPOSED] AM. ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT (SUBDIVISIONS) 3:21-MD-2996-CRB 2868758.2			

1	Before the Court is Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Clas			
2	Action Settlement.			
3	WHEREAS, a proposed Class Action Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement") has been			
4	reached between Court-appointed MDL Lead Counsel and the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee			
5	("PSC") for Government Entity Subdivisions (Class Counsel), on behalf of a proposed Settlemen			
6	Class of political subdivisions, that resolves certain claims against Defendants pertaining to			
7	McKinsey's consulting to clients regarding opioids and contribution to the opioid epidemic;			
8	WHEREAS, the Court, for purposes of this Order, adopts all defined terms as set forth in			
9	the Settlement;			
10	WHEREAS, this matter has come before the Court pursuant to Plaintiffs' Unopposed			
11	Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "Motion");			
12	WHEREAS, Defendants do not oppose the Court's entry of the proposed Preliminary			
13	Approval Order;			
14	WHEREAS, the Court finds it has jurisdiction over the Action and each of the parties for			
15	purposes of Settlement as asserts jurisdiction over the Settlement Class Representatives for			
16	purposes of considering and effectuating this Settlement;			
17	WHEREAS, this Court has presided over and managed these MDL proceedings since the			
18	JPML centralized the actions before this Court, In re McKinsey & Co., Inc., Nat'l Prescription			
19	Opiate Consultant Litig., 543 F. Supp. 3d 1377 (J.P.M.L. 2021); and			
20	WHEREAS, this Court has considered all of the presentations and submissions related to			
21	the Motion, as well as the facts, contentions, claims, and defenses as they have developed in these			
22	proceedings, and is otherwise fully advised of all relevant facts in connection therewith;			
23	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:			
24	I. Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement			
25	1. The proposed Settlement appears to be the product of intensive, thorough, serious,			
26	informed, and non-collusive negotiations; has no obvious deficiencies; does not improperly grant			
27	preferential treatment to the Settlement Class Representatives or segments of the Class; and			
28	FROPOSED AM. ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL			
I				

OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT (SUBDIVISIONS) 3:21-MD-2996-CRB appears to be fair, reasonable, and adequate, such that notice of the Settlement should be directed
 to Class Members and a Final Approval Hearing should be set.

3

4

2. Accordingly, the Motion is GRANTED.

II. Class, Class Representatives, and Class Counsel

5 3. "Class" or "Settlement Class" means any (1) General Purpose Government (including, but not limited to, a municipality, county, county subdivision, city, town, township, 6 parish, village, borough, gore, or any other entity that provides municipal-type government), 7 8 (2) Special District within a State, and (3) any other subdivision, subdivision official (acting in an 9 official capacity on behalf of the subdivision) or sub-entity of or located within a State (whether political, geographical or otherwise, whether functioning or non-functioning, regardless of 10 population overlap, and including, but not limited to, nonfunctioning governmental units and 11 public institutions). The foregoing shall specifically include but not be limited to the litigating 12 13 subdivisions listed in Schedule A, attached to the Settlement Agreement.

4. The terms "General Purpose Government" and "Special District" shall correspond 14 to the "basic types of local governments" recognized by the U.S. Census Bureau and match the 15 2017 list of Governmental Units. The General Purpose Governments are county, municipal, and 16 township governments. "Fire District," "Health District," "Hospital District," and "Library 17 18 District" shall correspond to categories of Special Districts recognized by the U.S. Census Bureau. References to a State's Subdivisions or to a Subdivision "in," "of," or "within" a State include 19 Subdivisions located within the State even if they are not formally or legally a sub-entity of the 20 State; provided, however, that a "Health District" that includes any of the following words or 21 phrases in its name shall not be considered a Subdivision: mosquito, pest, insect, spray, vector, 22 23 animal, air quality, air pollution, clean air, coastal water, tuberculosis, and sanitary.

- 5. Excluded from the Class are any sub-entity of Indiana, American Samoa, the
 Commonwealth of Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, the U.S. Virgin
 Islands, and all school districts.
- 27

28

[PROPOSED] AM. ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT (SUBDIVISIONS) 3:21-MD-2996-CRB Case 3:21-md-02996-CRB Document 622 Filed 11/06/23 Page 4 of 6

6. The PSC – Political Subdivision Committee members, appointed by the Court in 1 2 Pretrial Order No. 2, have applied for appointment as Interim Settlement Class Counsel, and the 3 proposed Settlement Class Representatives are those named as Plaintiffs in the Amended Master Class Action Complaint (Subdivision). ECF 211; 597. 4

5 III.

Preliminary Findings

7. The Court is thoroughly familiar with the standards applicable to certification of a 6 7 settlement class. See, e.g., In re Hyundai & Kia Fuel Econ. Litig., 926 F.3d 539, 556-67 (9th Cir. 8 2019) (detailing the standard for certifying a settlement class); see also In re Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Mktg., Sales Pracs., & Prod. Liab. Litig., No. MDL 2672 CRB (JSC), ECF 6764 (N.D. 9 Cal. Oct. 4, 2019) (Audi CO2 cases). 10

8. Applying these standards, the Court finds it will likely be able to approve, under 11 Rule 23(e)(2), the proposed Settlement Class as defined above because the Class and its 12 13 representatives likely meet all relevant requirements of Rules 23(a)-(c).

IV. 14

Notice to Class Members

9. The Court is also familiar with evolving methods of class notice and has observed 15 their effectiveness as used in previous class settlements in this litigation. As applied here, the 16 Court finds the content, format, and method of disseminating Notice – set forth in the Motion, the 17 18 Declaration of Aelish M. Baig in support of the Motion, and the Settlement Agreement and Release - is state of the art and satisfies Rule 23(c)(2) and all contemporary notice standards. The Court 19 approves the notice program and hereby directs that such notice be disseminated in the manner set 20 forth in the proposed Settlement and the Declaration of Aelish M. Baig in support of the Motion 21 to Class Members under Rule 23(e)(1). 22

23

24

V. Schedule and Procedures for Disseminating Notice, Filing Claims, Requesting **Exclusion from Class, Filing Objections to Class Action Settlement, and Filing** Motion for Final Approval

25	Court Adopted Date	Event	
26	10/25/2023	Class Notice Program begins	
27	11/10/2023	Motions for Final Approval and Attorneys' Fees and Expenses filed	
27	1/5/2024	Objection and Opt-Out Deadline	
28	Froposed; Am. Order granting preliminary Approval OF class action settlement (subdivisions)		
	3:21-MD-2996-CRB		

Case 3:21-md-02996-CRB Document 622 Filed 11/06/23 Page 5 of 6

1	Court Adopted	Event
1	Date	
2	1/5/2024	Response Memoranda Regarding Motions for Final Approval and Fee/Expense Application filed
3	1/26/2024	Reply Memoranda in Support of Final Approval and Fee/Expense Application filed
4	2/2/2024	Final Approval Hearing

5

VI.

FINAL APPROVAL HEARING

6 10. The Final Approval Hearing shall take place on February 16, 2024, at 10:00 a.m., at 7 the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Phillip Burton Federal 8 Building and U.S. Courthouse, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Courtroom 6, San Francisco, California 9 94102, before the Honorable Charles R. Breyer, to determine whether the proposed Settlement is 10 fair, reasonable, and adequate; whether it should be finally approved by the Court; and whether 11 the Released Claims should be dismissed with prejudice under the Settlement and the notice 12 program.

13

VII. **OTHER PROVISIONS**

14 11. PSC – Political Subdivision Committee members are hereby appointed as Interim 15 Settlement Class Counsel under Rule 23(g)(3) ("Interim Class Counsel"). Interim Class Counsel 16 and Defendants are authorized to take, without further Court approval, all necessary and 17 appropriate steps to implement the Settlement, including the approved notice program.

18

12. The dates and deadlines set forth in this Preliminary Approval Order, including, but 19 not limited to, the Final Approval Hearing, may be extended by Order of the Court without further 20 notice to Class Members, except that notice of any such extensions shall be included on the 21 Settlement website. Class Members should check the Settlement website regularly for updates 22 and further details regarding extensions of these deadlines. Exclusions and objections must meet 23 the deadlines and follow the requirements set forth in the approved Notice in order to be valid.

24 13. Interim Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel are hereby authorized to use all 25 reasonable procedures in connection with approval and administration of the Settlement not 26 materially inconsistent with the Preliminary Approval Order or the Class Action Settlement, 27 including making, without further approval of the Court, minor changes to the Settlement, the form 28[PROPOSED] AM. ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT (SUBDIVISIONS)

3:21-MD-2996-CRB

Case 3:21-md-02996-CRB Document 622 Filed 11/06/23 Page 6 of 6

or content of the Class Notice, or any other exhibits the Settling Parties jointly agree are reasonable
 or necessary.

14. The Court authorizes the Settlement Administrator, Epiq, through data aggregators 3 or otherwise, to request, obtain, and use Class Members' information for notice purposes. 4 15. The Court orders that Class Members shall be required to use the settlement funds 5 exclusively for approved uses designed to abate the opioid epidemic set forth in Exhibit E ("List 6 of Opioid Remediation Uses") of the prior MDL 2804 settlements. 7 The Court shall maintain continuing jurisdiction over these proceedings for the 16. 8 benefit of the Class as defined in this Order. 9 IT IS SO ORDERED. 10 11 DATED: November 6, 2023 12 THE HONORABLE CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 [PROPOSED] AM. ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT (SUBDIVISIONS) 3:21-MD-2996-CRB